

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,958 12/18/20		12/18/2001	James O. Gilkerson	279.209US2	2116
21186	7590	06/25/2004	EXAMIN		NER
	•	UNDBERG, WOES	JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R		
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO		N 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ĺ			3762	•
				DATE MAILED, 06/25/200	4

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/025,958	GILKERSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
7. MANUNO DATE (A):	Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ippears on the cover sheet wil	in the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be considered that the period for reply will be considered that the provisions of the pr	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	6 April 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 14-46 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-46 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 14-17 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/18/03, 10/24/02.</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 18-46 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/15/04.

## Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: it would appear that "enhancements" in line 3 should be - - enhancement - -. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14 -16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 5,725,559 to Alt et al. Alt provides a programmer module that allows for selective upgrading of a pacer to include additional therapy regimens, such as anti-tachycardia pacing and defibrillation, which would amount to selecting a clinical rhythm, i.e.

Art Unit: 3762

tachycardia or fibrillation. Since with these upgrades the pacer would now respond to those sensed arrhythmias to enable the function generator, the corresponding sensing upgrades would constitute detection enhancements for these arrhythmia which are "associated with these clinical rhythms" as claimed. The sensing of the upgraded arrhythmia would inherently call for a parameter upgrade, e.g. rate sensing threshold.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alt et al. in view of Nelms, US 4,323,074. Although it is believed to be intrinsically included in the Alt system, Alt et al. fail to specifically call for changing the value of a specific parameter, however, such techniques are notorious in the art as evidenced my Nelms, the only difference being that Nelms doesn't use a windows based, or layered, display system. In this regard, the Examiner takes official notice that windows based displays were well known in the programmer art at the time of the invention, the substitution of which, into the modified Alt et al. system, would have amounted to an obvious choice in design.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,958

Art Unit: 3762

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703) 308-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 5:30a.m. to 2:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,958 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R Jastrzab Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3762 6/23/04